wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		V.	•	ORD	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TI	RIAL
	Mig	guel Angel Contreras-Ochoa	Case Num	ber:	: <u>09-6135M</u>	_
and wa	s repres	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 sented by counsel. I conclude by a prepoint pending trial in this case.				
			FINDINGS OF FACT			
I find b		onderance of the evidence that:				
	$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	nited States or lawful	ly ad	dmitted for permanent residence.	
	$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the char-	ged offense, was in th	ne Ur	Jnited States illegally.	
		If released herein, the defendant far Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	ices removal procee the jurisdiction of this	ding: Cour	gs by the Bureau of Immigration urt and the defendant has previously	and Customs been deported
		The defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United Sta	tes o	or in the District of Arizona.	
		The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	United States from w	/hich	h he/she might make a bond reason	ably calculated
	X	The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.			
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
		The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substa	antial	al ties in Arizona or in the United S	States and has
		There is a record of prior failure to app	ear in court as ordere	ed.		
		The defendant attempted to evade lav	enforcement contac	t by f	fleeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum or	f	\	years imprisonment.	
at the t	The Co ime of th	ourt incorporates by reference the materine hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pret d in the record. DNCLUSIONS OF LA		Services Agency which were review	ed by the Cour
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condition DIRECTIO	ant will flee. ons will reasonably as <b>NS REGARDING DE</b>	ssure TEN	NTION	•
appeal of the U	ctions fa . The de Jnited St	fendant is committed to the custody of table; cility separate, to the extent practicable, affendant shall be afforded a reasonable tates or on request of an attorney for the e United States Marshal for the purpose	from persons awaiting opportunity for private Government, the per	g or s cons son i con	serving sentences or being held in consultation with defense counsel. On in charge of the corrections facility sometion with a court proceeding.	ustody pending order of a cour
deliver Court.	IT IS O a copy c	RDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration	detention order be file	d wit	rith the District Court, it is counsel's r	responsibility to fore the Distric
Service	es suffici	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to iently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be c the District Court to a	onsid allow	sidered, it is counsel's responsibility to w Pretrial Services an opportunity to	o notify Pretria interview and
	DATE	ED this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2009.				
		•	Sun .			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge